## 8 Lists of figures and tables

The following list of figures and list of tables include both the figures and tables that are part of the main report and those that are included in the Annex. The latter are reported starting with page 124.

## **List of figures**

Figure 1:	Changes of travel time by rail freight in the Reference Scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 (% change to the Baseline)XIV
Figure 2:	Changes of travel time by passenger rail in the Reference Scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 (% change to the Baseline)XVI
Figure 3:	Changes in GDP in 2020 and 2030 in the Reference scenario relative to the Baseline, by countryXIX
Figure 4:	Additional jobs created in 2020 and 2030 in the Reference scenario relative to the Baseline, by countryXX
Figure 5:	Changes in travel time by rail for passengers (left hand side) and freight (right hand side) by CNC relative to the Baseline in 2030 (% change) XXI
Figure 6:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) of the NUTS1 regions crossed by the core network corridors (CNC) relative to the Baseline in 2030 (% change)
Figure 7:	GDP multipliers for the TEN-T core network implementation between 2017 and 2030XXIII
Figure 8:	Employment multipliers for the TEN-T core network implementation between 2017 and 2030
Figure 9:	Major elements of the project methodology
Figure 10:	Impacts generated by transport infrastructure investments compared with investments in other sectors
Figure 11:	Baseline and TEN-T implementation pathways
Figure 12:	Map of the nine CNC
Figure 13:	Overview of the TEN-T impulses and the macroeconomic core of ASTRA
Figure 14:	Distribution of investment volumes of TEN-T CNC projects in the project database in Euro <sub>2005</sub>
Figure 15:	Total passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario

Figure 16:	Passenger cars transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario
Figure 17:	Buses and coaches transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario
Figure 18:	Passenger rail transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario
Figure 19:	Air passenger transport activity in the Baseline scenario
Figure 20:	Total freight transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario
Figure 21:	Road freight transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario
Figure 22:	Rail freight transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario
Figure 23:	Inland waterways freight transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Baseline scenario
Figure 24:	GDP projections in the Baseline Scenario
Figure 25:	Trend of employment in Baseline Scenario
Figure 26:	Changes of travel time for freight rail in the Reference Scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 (% change)
Figure 27:	Changes of travel time for passenger rail in the Reference Scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 (% change)
Figure 28:	Changes of travel time by road for passengers in the Reference Scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 (% change)
Figure 29:	Changes of travel time by road for freight in the Reference Scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 (% change)
Figure 30:	Passenger modal split (territoriality approach) in the Reference and the Baseline scenarios at 2030
Figure 31:	Long distance passenger modal split (territoriality approach) in the Reference and the Baseline scenarios at 2030
Figure 32:	Freight modal split of total activity in tkm in the Reference and Baseline scenarios in 2030
Figure 33:	Freight modal split of long distance traffic in the Reference and the

Figure 34:	Impact of TEN-T core network implementation on GDP and jobs between 2017 and 203074
Figure 35:	Changes in GDP due to additional TEN-T investments for each EU28 country
Figure 36:	Decomposition of growth impacts into impacts of investments and impacts of transport time and productivity
Figure 37:	Decomposition of investment and transport time/cost impacts on jobs in EU28
Figure 38:	Jobs created due to additional TEN-T investments for each EU28 country
Figure 39:	Changes in Gross Value Added for EU28 due to additional TEN-T investments
Figure 40:	Impact of TEN-T investment on employment in 2030 and 2040
Figure 41:	Changes of travel time by rail for both passengers and freight in the CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline at 2030 – (% change to the Baseline) 84
Figure 42:	Change of passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) in the NUTS1 regions crossed by the corridors for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 43:	Change of passenger cars activity (territoriality approach) at the EU level for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 44:	Change of rail passenger activity (territoriality approach) at the EU level for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 45:	Change of freight transport activity by road and rail (territoriality approach) in the NUTS1 regions crossed by the corridors for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 46:	Change of road freight activity (territoriality approach) at the EU level for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 47:	Change of rail freight activity (territoriality approach) at the EU level for . 91
Figure 48:	Change of inland waterways freight activity (territoriality approach) at the EU level for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 49:	Employment multiplier for EU28 – 2017 to 2030

Figure 50:	GDP multiplier for TEN-T core network investments – 2017 to 2030 94
Figure 51:	Change of rail passenger activity (territoriality approach) at the EU level for the Reference scenario and all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 52:	Change of rail freight activity (territoriality approach) at the EU level for the Reference scenario and all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Figure 53:	Impact of TEN-T investments in EU28 on GDP
Figure 54:	Impact of TEN-T investments in EU28 on employment
Figure 56:	Variety of terms to denote economic impacts
Figure 57:	Chain or loop of effects of transport investments in the transport and economic systems structured into direct, indirect and second round impacts
Figure 58:	Understanding wider economic benefits
Figure 59:	Illustration of necessary sets of conditions
Figure 60:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Atlantic CNC 158
Figure 61:	Change in employment due to TEN-T investments on Baltic Adriatic CNC
Figure 62:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Baltic Adriatic CNC 160
Figure 63:	Additional employment due to TEN-T investments on Baltic Adriatic CNC
Figure 64:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Mediterranean CNC 162
Figure 65:	Change in employment due to TEN-T investments on Mediterranean CNC
Figure 66:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on North Sea Baltic CNC 163
Figure 67:	Change in employment due to TEN-T investments on North Sea Baltic
Figure 68:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on North Sea Mediterranean CNC
Figure 69:	Change in employment due to TEN-T investments on North Sea Mediterranean CNC
Figure 70:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Orient East Mediterranean

Figure 71:	Change in employment due to TEN-T investments on Orient East Mediterranean CNC
Figure 72:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Rhine Alpine CNC 167
Figure 73:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Rhine Alpine CNC 168
Figure 74:	Change in employment due to TEN-T investments on Rhine Danube 169
Figure 75:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Rhine Danube CNC 169
Figure 76:	Change in GDP due to TEN-T investments on Scandinavian Mediterranean CNC
Figure 77:	Change in employment due to TEN-T investments on Scandinavian Mediterranean CNC

## List of tables

Table 1:	TEN-T investments on the CNC by project type (in million of euros in 2005 prices)XII
Table 2:	Changes in inland freight transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – difference in million of tonne-kilometres and % changes
Table 3:	Changes in inland passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – difference in million passenger-kilometres and % changesXV
Table 4:	Changes in GDP and employment in the Reference scenario relative to the Baseline due to the TEN-T core network implementation between 2017 and 2030XVII
Table 5:	Changes in cumulated GDP and cumulated jobs due to the TEN-T core network implementation between 2017 and 2030XVII
Table 6:	Average changes in travel time on the upgraded part of the Core-non-CNCs road and rail networks in 2030 (% change to the Baseline)
Table 7:	Transport relations considered for the implementation of assumptions or inland waterways
Table 8:	Investments per CNC for the EU28 plus Norway and Switzerland [million EUR2005]
Table 9:	Share of TEN-T investments in relation to GDP
Table 10:	Share of TEN-T investments in relation to GDP on country level 45
Table 11:	TEN-T investments in the CNC by project type in Mio Euro <sub>2005</sub>
Table 12:	Distribution of TEN-T Investment per Country in Mio Euro <sub>2005</sub> and shares in total TEN-T investments
Table 13:	Cost benchmarks to assess investment for CNoCNC sections 49
Table 14:	Aggregation of investment costs of the CNoCNC sections by mode type 50
Table 15:	Cumulated EU funding and Financing from CNC Project Database in Mic Euro <sub>2005</sub>
Table 16:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 (difference in million passenger-kilometres and % changes)

Table 17:	Passenger Modal Split (territoriality approach) in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 18:	Long distance passenger Modal Split (territoriality approach) in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 19:	Changes in air passenger transport activity for the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 20:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 21:	Change of freight modal split of total demand (territoriality approach) in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 22:	Change of freight modal split of long distance demand (territoriality approach) in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 70
Table 23:	Change of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from total transport sector in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline at 2030
Table 24:	Changes of CO <sub>2</sub> external transport costs from total transport sector in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 25:	Changes of CO, NOx, VOC and PM from total transport sector in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 26:	Changes of external costs of noise from inter-urban road traffic in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 27:	Changes of external costs of congestion from inter-urban road traffic in the Reference scenario relative to Baseline in 2030
Table 28:	Changes in the Reference scenario relative to the Baseline scenario for employment and GDP for EU15, EU13 and EU28
Table 29:	Cumulated impacts of TEN-T implementation on employment and GDP for EU15, EU13 and EU2876
Table 30:	Overview of TEN-T core network impacts on GDP and employment for 2030 and 2040
Table 31:	Changes of travel time by rail for both passengers and freight in the CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline) 83
Table 32:	Change of rail costs for passengers and freight in the CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 33:	Changes of travel time by road for passengers and freight in the CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)

Table 34:	Change of passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) in the NUTS1 regions crossed by the corridors for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 - (million pkm/year; % change to the Baseline)
Table 35:	Change of freight transport activity by road and rail (territoriality approach) in the NUTS1 regions crossed by the corridors for all CNCs scenarios relative to Baseline in 2030 - (million tkm/year; % change to the Baseline)
Table 36:	Changes in GDP and employment for the nine CNC relative to the Baseline
Table 37:	Ratio between the impact on passenger activity at NUTS1 and EU28 levels relative to Baseline in 2030 – (million pkm/year; %)
Table 38:	Ratio between the impact on freight activity at NUTS1 and EU28 levels relative to Baseline in 2030 – (million tkm/year; %)
Table 39:	Jobs Generated in the US per Billion US Dollars of Spending on Public Transportation
Table 40:	Employment impacts of a 1 000 job increase in the construction sector arising from infrastructure investment, thousands of jobs
Table 41:	Change in travel time by rail on the Atlantic corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 42:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the Atlantic corridor relative to Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 43:	Change in travel time by road on the Atlantic corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 44:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Atlantic corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 45:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Atlantic corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in Mio*tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline 143
Table 46:	Change in travel time by rail on the Baltic-Adriatic corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 47:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the Baltic-Adriatic corridor relative to Baseline - (% change to the Baseline)
Table 48:	Change in travel time by road on the Baltic-Adriatic corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)

Table 49:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Baltic-Adriatic corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 50:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Baltic-Adriatic scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline 145
Table 51:	Change in travel time by rail on the Mediterranean corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 52:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the Mediterranean corridor relative in Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 53:	Change in travel time by road on the Mediterranean corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 54:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Mediterranean corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 55:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Mediterranean scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in Mio*tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 56:	Change in travel time by rail on the North Sea-Baltic corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 57:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the North Sea-Baltic corridor relative in Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 58:	Change in travel time by road on the North Sea-Baltic corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 59:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the North Sea-Baltic corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 60:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the North Sea-Baltic scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in Mio*tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline 148
Table 61:	Change in travel time by rail on the North Sea-Mediterranean corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)

Table 62:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the North Sea- Mediterranean corridor relative in Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 63:	Change in travel time by road on the North Sea-Mediterranean corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 64:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the North Sea-Mediterranean corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 65:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the North Sea-Mediterranean scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 66:	Change in travel time by rail on the Orient-East-Med corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 67:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the Orient-East-Med corridor relative in Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 68:	Change in travel time by road on the Orient-East-Med corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 69:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Orient-East-Med corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 70:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Orient-East-Med scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in Mio*tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline 152
Table 71:	Change in travel time by rail on the Rhine-Alpine corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 72:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the Rhine-Alpine corridor relative in Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 73:	Change in travel time by road on the Rhine-Alpine corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 74:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Rhine-Alpine corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline

Table 75:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Rhine-Alpine corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 76:	Change in travel time by rail on the Rhine-Danube corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 77:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the Rhine-Danube corridor relative in Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 78:	Change in travel time by road on the Rhine-Danube corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 79:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Rhine-Danube corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 80:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Rhine- Danube corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 81:	Change in travel time by rail on the Scandinavian-Mediterranean corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 82:	Change in rail cost for passengers and freight on the Scandinavian-Mediterranean corridor relative in Baseline – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 83:	Change in travel time by road on the Scandinavian-Mediterranean corridor relative to Baseline in 2030 – (% change to the Baseline)
Table 84:	Changes in passenger transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Scandinavian-Mediterranean corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million pkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 85:	Changes in freight transport activity (territoriality approach) for the Scandinavian-Mediterranean corridor scenario relative to Baseline in 2030 – Delta in million tkm/year for the Baseline and the CNC scenario and % changes to the Baseline
Table 86:	TEN-T investments on Atlantic CNC per country in million €2005 158
Table 87:	TEN-T investments on Baltic Adriatic CNC per country in million €2005 159
Table 88:	TEN-T investments on Mediterranean CNC per country in million €2005. 161

Table 89:	TEN-T investments on North Sea Baltic CNC per country in million €2005
Table 90:	TEN-T investments on North Sea Mediterranean CNC per country in million €2005
Table 91:	TEN-T investments on Orient-East-Med CNC per country in million €2005
Table 92:	TEN-T investments on Rhine Alpine CNC per country in million € <sub>2005</sub> 167
Table 93:	TEN-T investments on Rhine Danube CNC per country in million €2005. 168
Table 94:	TEN-T investments on Scandinavian Mediterranean CNC per country in million €2005
Table 95:	Comparison between ASTRA Reference Scenario and EU Reference Scenario 2016 for passenger transport activity by car and rail (territoriality approach) - million pkm
Table 96:	Comparison between ASTRA Reference Scenario and EU Reference Scenario 2016 for passenger transport activity by bus and air (territoriality approach) – million pkm
Table 97:	Comparison between ASTRA Reference Scenario and EU Reference Scenario 2016 for freight transport activity by road and rail (territoriality approach) - million tkm
Table 98:	Comparison between ASTRA Reference Scenario and EU Reference Scenario 2016 for CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from total transport sector – 1 000 t/year
Table 99:	Deviation of ASTRA-EC with EU Reference Scenario
Table 100:	Deviation of ASTRA-EC with Ageing Report 2015 175

## 9 References

- Abiad, A., Furceri, D., Topalova, P. (2015): *The Macroeconomic Effects of Public Investment: Evidence from Advanced Economies*. IMF Working Paper WP/15/95, International Monetary Fund, Washington.
- Bivens J. (2014). The Short- and Long-Term Impact of Infrastructure Investment on Employment and Economic Activity in the US Economy. EPI Briefing Paper #374. Economic Policy Institute, July 2014.
- Bom, PRD., Lightart, JE. (2009): *How Productive is Public Capital? A Meta-Regression Analysis*. Working Paper 09-12, Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University.
- Buffie, E., Berg, A., Pattillo, C., Portillo, R., Zanna, LP. (2012): *Public Investment, Growth and Debt Sustainability: Putting Together the Pieces*. IMF Working Paper WP/12/144, International Monetary Fund, Washington.
- Bröcker, J., Korzhenevych, A., & Schürmann, C. (2010). *Assessing spatial equity and efficiency impacts of transport infrastructure projects*. Transportation Research Part B: Meth-odological, 44(7), 795-811.
- Bröcker, J. and J. Mercenier (2011) 'General Equilibrium Models for Transportation Economics', in: Palma, A.de, Lindsey, R, Quinet, E. and R. Vickerman (eds.): Handbook of Transport Economics. Cheltenham. 21-46.
- Capros, P., De Vita, A., Tasios, N., Siskos, P., Kannavou, M., Petropoulos, A., ... & Paroussos, L. (2016). *EU Reference Scenario 2016 Energy, transport and GHG emissions Trends to 2050*.
- Christophersen, H., Bodewig, K., Secchi, C. (2015): *Making the best use of new financial schemes for European transport infrastructure projects.* Brussels.
- Combes, P. P., Duranton, G., Gobillon, L., Puga, D., & Roux, S. (2012). *The productivity advantages of large cities: Distinguishing agglomeration from firm selection*. Econometrica, 80(6), 2543-2594.
- Di Cataldo M., Rodríguez-Pose A. (2017): What drives employment growth and social inclusion in the regions of the European Union?, Regional Studies, DOI: 10.1080/00343404.2016.1255320
- Doll C., Rothengatter W., Schade W. (2015): *The results and efficiency of railway infra*structure financing within the European Union. European Parlament, Policy Department D, ISBN 978-92-823-8245-5, Brüssel.

- EC/SDG European Commission/Steer Davies Gleeve (2017): Clean Power for Transport Infrastructure Deployment FINAL REPORT. Study on behalf of the European Commission, London/Brussels.
- Ehlers, T. (2014): *Understanding the challenges for infrastructure finance*. BIS Working Papers No. 454. Bank for International Settlements, Basel.
- EIB European Investment Bank (2013): *The Economic Appraisal of Investment Projects at the EIB.* Report by the EIB, Luxembourg.
- EIB European Investment Bank (2016): *EIB operations inside the EU 2016*. Report by the EIB, Luxembourg.
- EIOPA (2013): Discussion Paper on Standard Formula Design and Calibration for Certain Long-Term Investments. EIOPA, Frankfurt.
- Engel, E., Fischer, R., Galetovic, A. (2010): *The economics of infrastructure finance: Public-Private-Partnerships versus public provision.* EIB Papers 15(1):40-69
- Engel, E., Fischer, R., Galetovic, A. (2014): *The economics of public-private partnerships: A basic guide*. Cambridge University Press.
- European Commission (2013): *EU Energy, Transport and GHG Emissions. Trends to 2050 Reference Scenario 2013.* European Commission Directorate-General for Climate Action and Directorate-General for Mobility and Transport. Brussels, Belgium.
- European Commission (2015): *The 2015 Ageing Report. Economic and budgetary projections for the 28 EU member states.* European Economy 3/2015, DG ECFIN.
- European Commission (2016): Impact Assessment accompanying the Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Directive 2012/27/EU on Energy Efficiency. Commission Staff Working Document, COM(2016) 761 final, Brussels.
- European Commission (2017): *The 2018 Ageing Report. Underlying Assumptions & Projections Methodologies.* European Economy Institutional Papers 65, DG ECFIN.
- European PPP Expertise Centre EPEC (2017): *Market Update Review of the European PPP Market in 2016.* European Investment Bank.
- European Central Bank (2017). *Asset Purchase Programmes*. Retrieved in October from: https://www.ecb.europa.eu/mopo/implement/omt/html/index.en.html#abspp
- Exel J., Rienstra S., Gommers M., Pearman A., Tsamboulas D. (2002): *EU involvement in TEN development: network effects and European value added.* In: Transport Policy, 9, p. 299-311.

- Ferrari, M., Giovannini, A., Pompei, M. (2016): *The challenge of infrastructure financing*. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, Vol. 32(3):446-474.
- Fratzscher, M. (2015): Der Bericht der Expertenkommission zur "Stärkung von Investitionen in Deutschland". Wirtschaftsdienst, Vol. 95(7).
- Fermi F., Fiorello D., Krail M., Schade W. (2014): *Description of the ASTRA model and of the user interface*. Deliverable D4.2 of ASSIST (Assessing the social and economic impacts of past and future sustainable transport policy in Europe). Project co-funded by European Commission 7th RTD Programme. Fraunhofer-ISI, Karlsruhe, Germany
- Graham, D.J. (2006) Wider Economic Benefits of Transport Improvements: Link Between City Size & Productivity. Study on behalf of the DfT. London.
- Group of Thirty (G30) Working Group (2013): *Long-Term Finance and Economic Growth*. Group of Thirty, Washington.
- Gutiérrez, J., Condeço-Melhorado, A., López, E., & Monzón, A. (2011). Evaluating the European added value of TEN-T projects: a methodological proposal based on spatial spillovers, accessibility and GIS. Journal of Transport Geography, 19(4), 840-850.
- Haider M., Crowley D., DiFrancesco R. (2013). *Investing in Ontario's Infrastructure for Economic Growth and Prosperity*. Commissioned by the Residential and Civil Construction Alliance of Ontario.
- Hartwig J., Kockat J., Schade W., Braungardt B. (2017): The macroeconomic effects of ambitious energy efficiency policy in Germany Combining bottom-up energy modelling with a non-equilibrium macroeconomic model. Energy 124, pp. 510-520.
- Heintz, J., Pollin, R., Garrett-Peltier, H. (2009): *How Infrastructure Investments Supports the US Economy: Employment, Productivity and Growth.* Political Economy Research Institute, University of Massachusetts Amherst, January 2009.
- ITF International Transport Forum (2013): Understanding the value of transport infrastructure Guidelines for macro-level measurement of spending and assets.
  OECD/ITF task force report, Paris Krail M. (2009): System-Based Analysis of Income Distribution Impacts on Mobility Behaviour. Nomos-Verlag, Baden-Baden, Germany.
- Krail M. (2009): System-Based Analysis of Income Distribution Impacts on Mobility Behaviour. Nomos-Verlag, Baden-Baden, Germany.
- Mercenier J., Álvarez-Martínez M., Brandsma A., Di Comite F., Diukanova O., Kancs d'A., Lecca P., López-Cobo M., Monfort P., Persyn D., Rillaers A., Thissen M., Torfs W. (2016): RHOMOLO-v2 Model Description: A spatial computable general equilibrium model for EU regions and sectors. JRC Technical Reports JRC100011, European Commission, DG Joint Research Centre, EUR 27728 EN, doi:10.2791/18446.
- Metsäranta H., Törmä H., Kinnunen J., Laakso S., Zimoch U. et al. (2013). *The wider economic impacts of transport. Bothnian Green Logistic Corridor.* Project part financed by

- the European Regional Development Fund and European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument.
- Mohring H. (1993): *Maximizing, measuring, and not double counting transportation-improvement benefits: a primer on closed- and open-economy cost-benefit analysis.* In: Transportation Research Part B, vol. 27B, no. 6, pp. 413-424.
- OECD (2016): Annual Survey of Large Pension Funds and Public Pension Reserve Funds. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- OECD (2017): Investing in Climate, Investing in Growth. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Pregin (2017a): Pregin Global Infrastructure Report. Pregin Ltd.
- Preqin (2017b): Preqin Quarterly Infrastructure Update Q1 2017. Preqin Ltd.
- Rothengatter, W. (2017) *Wider Economic Impacts of Transport Infrastructure Investments:*Relevant or Negligible? To appear in: Transport Policy.
- Schade W. (2005): Strategic Sustainability Analysis: Concept and application for the assessment of European Transport Policy. NOMOS-Verlag, ISBN 3-8329-1248-7, Baden-Baden.
- Schade W., Meija-Dorantes L., Rothengatter W., Meyer-Rühle O., Kritzinger-S. (2014): *Update on Investments in Large TEN-T Projects*. Report published by the European Par-liament Policy Department B, Brussels.
- Schade W., Krail M., Hartwig J., Walther C., Sutter D., Killer M., Maibach M., Gomez-Sanchez J., Hitscherich K. (2015): *Cost of non-completion of the TEN-T*. ISI, PTV, Infras, M-Five, Study on behalf of the European Commission DG MOVE, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- Schade, W., Hartwig, J., Maffii, S., Martino, A., de Stasio, C., Welter, S. (2017a): *The impact of TEN-T completion on growth, jobs and the environment INCEPTION REPORT.* Report on behalf of the European Commission. Karlsruhe, Milan.
- Schade, W., Hartwig, J., Rothengatter, W., Welter, S. (2017b): *Explanation and clarification of economic terminology in the TEN-T growth debate.* Working Paper, M-Five, Karlsruhe.
- Schade W., Bellodi L., Hartwig J., Maffii S., Martino A., de Stasio C., Welter S. (2019) *The impact of TEN-T completion on growth, jobs and the environment First INTERMEDIATE REPORT.* Report on behalf of the European Commission. Karlsruhe, Milan.
- Sterman, J. D. (2000): Business Dynamics. Systems Thinking and Modeling for a Complex World. McGraw-Hill.
- Sichelschmidt, H. (1999). The EU programme "trans-European networks"—a critical assessment. Transport Policy, 6(3), 169-181.

- Spiekermann, K., & Wegener, M. (1996). *Trans-European networks and unequal accessibility in Europe*. European journal of regional development, 4(96), 35-42
- Venables, A. (2007) Evaluating urban improvements. Cost-benefit analysis in the presence of agglomeration and income taxation, Journal of Transport Economics and Policy, 41.173-186.
- Venables A. J. (2016). *Incorporating wider economic impacts within cost-benefit appraisal.*Discussion paper for International Transport Forum.
- Wangsness, P. B., Rødseth, K. L., & Hansen, W. (2017). *A review of guidelines for including wider economic impacts in transport appraisal*. Transport Reviews, 37(1), 94-115.
- Weisbrod, G., Reno, A. (2014): *Economic Impact of Public Transportation Investment*. Prepared for the American Public Transportation Association. May 2014